

PT-symmetric Models with $O(N)$ Symmetry

Peter N. Meisinger and Michael C. Ogilvie

Department of Physics, Washington University, St. Louis, MO 63130 USA

Abstract

We construct PT -symmetric quantum mechanical models with an $O(N)$ -symmetric interaction term of the form $-g \left(\vec{x}^2 \right)^2 / N$. Using functional integral methods, we find the equivalent Hermitian model, which has several unusual features. The effective potential obtained in the large- N limit of the Hermitian form is shown to be identical to the form obtained from the original PT -symmetric model using familiar constraint field methods. The analogous constraint field prescription in four dimensions suggests that $-g \left(\vec{\phi}^2 \right)^2 / N$ PT -symmetric scalar field theories are asymptotically free.

PACS numbers: 11.30.Er, 11.15.Pg, 03.65.Db

I. INTRODUCTION

Since the initial discovery of PT symmetry [1], there has been considerable progress in expanding both the number of PT -symmetric models and our knowledge of their properties [2, 3]. Although the construction of PT -symmetric matrix models has proved to be relatively straightforward [4], the construction of PT -symmetric models with fields transforming as vectors under $O(N)$ appears to have more difficult technical issues. Nevertheless, the development of scalar field theory models with vector symmetry is crucial to the possible relevance of PT -symmetry in particle physics. On the other hand, there has been substantial progress recently in understanding the relation of the one-component $-\lambda x^4$ model to its equivalent Hermitian form [5, 6], as well as work on the relation of $O(N)$ -symmetric Hermitian models to one-component PT -symmetric models [7]. In this paper, we extend this work to a PT -symmetric model with $O(N)$ symmetry, deriving the Hermitian form for all values of N . We construct the large- N limit, and show that this limit can be obtained using the familiar method of constraint fields, but in a much less rigorous way.

Consider a model with Euclidean Lagrangian given by

$$L_E = \sum_{j=1}^N \left[\frac{1}{2} (\partial_t x_j)^2 + \frac{1}{2} m^2 x_j^2 - \lambda x_j^4 \right] - \frac{g}{N} \left(\sum_{j=1}^N x_j^2 \right)^2 \quad (1)$$

where g and λ are non-negative. When $g = 0$, we have N decoupled one-dimensional systems; for $\lambda = 0$, we have a model with $O(N)$ symmetry. When both g and λ are non-zero, the model has only an S_N permutation symmetry. From the standpoint of PT symmetry, the interaction terms can be considered as members of a family of PT -invariant interactions

$$- \lambda \sum_{j=1}^N (-i x_j)^{2p} - \frac{g}{N} \left(- \sum_{j=1}^N x_j^2 \right)^q \quad (2)$$

which are invariant under PT symmetry. This class of models is well-defined for $p = q = 1$, and must be defined for $p, q > 1$ by an appropriate analytic continuation of the x_j as necessary [1].

It is convenient to consider this model as a subset of a larger class of models, with a Lagrangian of the form

$$L_E = \sum_{j=1}^N \left[\frac{1}{2} (\partial_t x_j)^2 + \frac{1}{2} m^2 x_j^2 \right] - \sum_{j,k=1}^N x_j^2 \Lambda_{jk} x_k^2 \quad (3)$$

The classical stability of the potential for large x_j is governed by the eigenvalues of Λ . For the model of particular interest to us,

$$\Lambda = \lambda I + gP \quad (4)$$

where P is the projector

$$P = \frac{1}{N} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & \dots \\ 1 & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix} \quad (5)$$

satisfying $P^2 = P$. The decomposition $\Lambda = \lambda(I - P) + (g + \lambda)P$ shows that Λ has one eigenvalue $g + \lambda$ and $N - 1$ eigenvalues with value λ . The eigenvalue $g + \lambda$ is associated with variations in $\vec{x}^2$, i.e., variations in the radial direction.

II. EQUIVALENCE TO HERMITIAN MODELS

We will analyze the case where all eigenvalues of Λ are positive using functional integration. With the substitution

$$x_j \rightarrow -2i\sqrt{c_j + i\psi_j} \quad (6)$$

familiar from the one-component case, L_E becomes

$$L_E = \sum_j \left[\frac{1}{2} \frac{(\partial_t \psi_j)^2}{(c_j + i\psi_j)} - 2m^2(c_j + i\psi_j) \right] - 16 \sum_{jk} \Lambda_{jk}(c_j + i\psi_j)(c_k + i\psi_k). \quad (7)$$

The generating function for the model is given by

$$Z = \int \prod_j \frac{[d\psi_j]}{\sqrt{\det(c_j + i\psi_j)}} \exp \left[- \int dt \left[L_E - \sum_j \frac{1}{32} \left(\frac{1}{c_j + i\psi_j} \right) \right] \right] \quad (8)$$

where the change of variables has generated both a functional determinant and additional term, formally of order $\hbar^2$, in the action. As pointed out in [6], both terms are required to obtain correct results in the functional integral formalism.

The functional determinant may be written as

$$\prod_j \frac{1}{\det [\sqrt{c_j + i\psi_j}]} = \int \prod_j [dh_j] \exp \left\{ - \int dt \left[\frac{1}{2} (c_j + i\psi_j) \left(h_j - \frac{i\dot{\psi}_j + 1/4}{c_j + i\psi_j} \right)^2 \right] \right\} \quad (9)$$

which introduces a new set of fields h_j . The derivation proceeds as in the single-variable case. After integration by parts on the $h_j \dot{\psi}_j$ terms, and adding and subtracting total derivatives,

the functional integral over the ψ_j fields can be carried out exactly. The integral is both local and quadratic, and requires that the matrix Λ have positive eigenvalues for convergence. The result of this integration is

$$Z = \int \prod_n [dh_n] \exp \left\{ - \int dt \left[\frac{1}{64} \sum_{jk} \Lambda_{jk}^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{2} h_j^2 + \dot{h}_j - 2m^2 \right] \left[\frac{1}{2} h_k^2 + \dot{h}_k - 2m^2 \right] - \sum_j \frac{1}{4} h_j \right] \right\}. \quad (10)$$

After discarding total derivatives, we obtain

$$Z = \int \prod_n [dh_n] \exp \left[- \int dt \frac{1}{64} \sum_{jk} \Lambda_{jk}^{-1} \left[\dot{h}_j \dot{h}_k + \frac{1}{4} (h_j^2 - 4m^2) (h_k^2 - 4m^2) \right] - \sum_j \frac{1}{4} h_j \right] \quad (11)$$

which gives the Hermitian form for our general PT -symmetric model with N fields.

In the particular case we are interested in, we have

$$\Lambda^{-1} = \frac{1}{\lambda} (I - P) + \frac{1}{g + \lambda} P. \quad (12)$$

The Lagrangian may be written as

$$L_E = \frac{1}{64\lambda} \sum_j \left[\dot{h}_j^2 + \frac{1}{4} (h_j^2 - 4m^2)^2 \right] - \frac{g}{64N\lambda(g + \lambda)} \left[\left(\sum_j \dot{h}_j \right)^2 + \frac{1}{4} \left(\sum_j (h_j^2 - 4m^2) \right)^2 \right] - \frac{1}{4} \sum_j h_j. \quad (13)$$

It is helpful to immediately rescale all the fields as $h_j \rightarrow \sqrt{32\lambda} h_j$:

$$L_E = \sum_j \left[\frac{1}{2} \dot{h}_j^2 + 4\lambda \left(h_j^2 - \frac{m^2}{8\lambda} \right)^2 \right] - \frac{g}{N(g + \lambda)} \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_j \dot{h}_j \right)^2 + 4\lambda \left(\sum_j \left(h_j^2 - \frac{m^2}{8\lambda} \right) \right)^2 \right] - \sqrt{2\lambda} \sum_j h_j. \quad (14)$$

At this point, the S_N permutation symmetry is still manifest, and it clear that the field $\sum_j h_j$ plays a special role.

In order to understand the strategy for rewriting the model in a form in which the limit $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ can easily be taken, it is useful to work out explicitly the case of $N = 2$ first. It is apparent that a rotation of the fields will be desirable. We define suggestively new fields σ and π given by

$$\begin{aligned} h_1 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\sigma + \pi) \\ h_2 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\sigma - \pi) \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

After some algebra and the rescaling

$$\sigma \rightarrow \sqrt{\frac{g + \lambda}{\lambda}} \sigma \quad (16)$$

we arrive at

$$L_E = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\sigma}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\dot{\pi}^2 - m^2\sigma^2 - \frac{\lambda m^2}{g+\lambda}\pi^2 + 2(g+\lambda)\sigma^4 + \frac{2\lambda^2}{g+\lambda}\pi^4 + (8g+12\lambda)\sigma^2\pi^2 - 2\sqrt{g+\lambda}\sigma. \quad (17)$$

Notice the natural hierarchy between the masses for $\lambda \ll g$. The $O(2)$ symmetric limit of the original PT -symmetric model is obtained in the limit $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, where we have

$$L_E = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\sigma}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\dot{\pi}^2 - m^2\sigma^2 + 2g\sigma^4 + 8g\sigma^2\pi^2 - 2\sqrt{g}\sigma. \quad (18)$$

The field π has no mass term, indicating its relation to the angular degrees of freedom in the original Lagrangian. However, radiative corrections generate a mass for the π field via the $\sigma^2\pi^2$ interaction. As in the one-component case, there is a linear anomaly term, but only for σ .

We now turn to the more difficult case of the $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ limit for arbitrary N . As before, we introduce a field σ defined by

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_j h_j \quad (19)$$

as well as a set of $N-1$ fields π_k with $k = 1, \dots, N-1$ related to the h_j fields by a rotation so that $\sigma^2 + \vec{\pi}^2 = \vec{h}^2$. Each field h_j can be written as

$$h_j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\sigma + \tilde{h}_j \quad (20)$$

where $\sum_j \tilde{h}_j = 0$. This property is crucial in eliminating a term in L_E which diverges as $\lambda^{-1/2}$ as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$. The Lagrangian now can be written as

$$L_E = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\sigma}^2 + \sum_j \frac{1}{2}\dot{\pi}_j^2 - m^2(\sigma^2 + \vec{\pi}^2) + 4\lambda \sum_j h_j^4 + \frac{4}{N} \left(\frac{\lambda^2}{g+\lambda} - \lambda \right) \left(\sigma^2 + \vec{\pi}^2 - \frac{Nm^2}{8\lambda} \right)^2 - \sqrt{2\lambda N}\sigma. \quad (21)$$

The rescaling $\sigma \rightarrow \sqrt{(g+\lambda)/\lambda}\sigma$ plus some careful algebra yields the $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ limit as

$$L_E = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\sigma}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\dot{\vec{\pi}}^2 - m^2\sigma^2 + \frac{4g}{N}\sigma^4 + \frac{16g}{N}\sigma^2\vec{\pi}^2 - \sqrt{2gN}\sigma \quad (22)$$

which agrees with our previous result for $N = 2$, and agrees with the known result for a single degree of freedom if we take $N = 1$ and drop the $\vec{\pi}$ field altogether. This is a Hermitian form of the PT -symmetric anharmonic oscillator with $O(N)$ symmetry, derived as the limit of a PT -symmetric model with S_N symmetry. The Hermitian form has several novel features. Note that both the S_N and $O(N)$ symmetries are no longer manifest, but

there is an explicit $O(N - 1)$ symmetry associated with rotations of the $\vec{\pi}$ field. As in the $N = 2$ case, there is no mass term for the $\vec{\pi}$ field. Furthermore, there is no $(\vec{\pi}^2)^2$ term, although there is a $\vec{\pi}^2\sigma^2$ interaction. The anomaly term again involves only σ , and breaks the symmetry $\sigma \rightarrow -\sigma$ possessed by the rest of the Lagrangian. Analyzing the Lagrangian at the classical level, we see that if $m^2 > 0$, the σ field is moving in a double-well potential, perturbed by the anomaly so that $\langle\sigma\rangle > 0$. On the other hand, if $m^2 < 0$, σ moves in a single-well anharmonic oscillator, again with the linear anomaly term making $\langle\sigma\rangle > 0$. In either case, the $\vec{\pi}^2\sigma^2$ interaction will generate a mass for the $\vec{\pi}$ field. All of this is consistent with the association of σ and $\vec{\pi}$ with the radial and angular degrees of freedom, respectively, in the original PT -symmetric model.

III. LARGE- N LIMIT

We will defer a more detailed discussion of this model for finite N , and turn to its large- N limit. One more rescaling $\sigma \rightarrow \sqrt{N}\sigma$ gives the Lagrangian

$$L_E = \frac{N}{2}\dot{\sigma}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\dot{\vec{\pi}}^2 - Nm^2\sigma^2 + 4gN\sigma^4 + 16g\sigma^2\vec{\pi}^2 - N\sqrt{2g}\sigma. \quad (23)$$

We see that the anomaly term survives in the large- N limit, unlike the matrix model case [4]. After integrating over the $N - 1$ components of the $\vec{\pi}$ field, we have the large- N effective potential V_{eff} for σ :

$$V_{eff}/N = -m^2\sigma^2 + 4g\sigma^4 + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{32g\sigma^2} - \sqrt{2g}\sigma. \quad (24)$$

It is striking that the anomaly term has virtually the same form as the zero-point energy of the $\vec{\pi}$ field. The anomaly term breaks the discrete $\sigma \rightarrow -\sigma$ symmetry of the other terms of the Lagrangian, and always favors $\sigma \geq 0$. The effective potential has a global minimum with σ positive for $m^2 > 3 \cdot 2^{1/3}g^{2/3}$. For $m^2 < 3 \cdot 2^{1/3}g^{2/3}$, there does not appear to be a stable solution with $\sigma > 0$, and $\sigma = 0$ is the stable solution to leading order in the $1/N$ expansion. This change in the behavior of the effective potential as m^2 is varied is not seen in the corresponding Hermitian model [8], and indicates a need for care in analyzing the model. Based on our preliminary analysis of the Hermitian form for finite N , we believe that this behavior is associated with the large- N limit, and does not indicate a fundamental restriction on m^2 .

The large- N effective potential was derived from a Lagrangian with unusual properties, associated with the Hermitian form of the original model. It is therefore surprising that, once the form of the large- N effective potential is known, it can be derived heuristically in a more conventional way. We start from the $O(N)$ -symmetric Lagrangian

$$L_E = \sum_{j=1}^N \left[\frac{1}{2} (\partial_t x_j)^2 + \frac{1}{2} m^2 x_j^2 \right] - \frac{g}{N} \left(\sum_{j=1}^N x_j^2 \right)^2 \quad (25)$$

and add a quadratic term in a constraint field ρ

$$L_E \rightarrow L_E + \frac{g}{N} \left(\frac{2N\rho}{g} + \sum_{j=1}^N x_j^2 - \frac{Nm^2}{4g} \right)^2 \quad (26)$$

yielding

$$L_E = \sum_{j=1}^N \left[\frac{1}{2} (\partial_t x_j)^2 + 4\rho x_j^2 \right] + \frac{4N\rho^2}{g} - \frac{Nm^2\rho}{g} + \frac{Nm^4}{16g}. \quad (27)$$

If we integrate over x_j in a completely conventional way, we obtain the large- N effective potential

$$V_{eff}/N = \frac{4\rho^2}{g} - \frac{m^2\rho}{g} + \sqrt{2\rho} + \frac{m^4}{16g}. \quad (28)$$

This is essentially identical to our previous expression after identifying $\rho = g\sigma^2$. However, we lack a fundamental justification for this approach. We know that great care must be taken in specifying the contour of integration in typical PT -symmetric models, yet the x_j fields were integrated over quite conventionally. If this approach has validity, it seems likely that the choice of integration contours for ρ and $\vec{x}$ is crucial. However, only the saddle point matters to leading order in $1/N$, so it is possible for this heuristic derivation to be correct even though we lack a direct, complete treatment of the original PT -symmetric model.

IV. PT -SYMMETRIC FIELD THEORY

If we boldly apply the constraint field approach to a PT -symmetric field theory with a $-g(\vec{\phi}^2)^2$ interaction in d dimensions, we obtain the effective potential

$$V_{eff}/N = \frac{4\rho^2}{g} - \frac{m^2\rho}{g} + \frac{m^4}{16g} + \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} \ln [k^2 + 8\rho]. \quad (29)$$

Models of this type were rejected decades ago [8] because of stability concerns at both the classical and quantum levels, although there were early indications that such theories

were in fact sensible [9]. Within the framework of PT -symmetric models, such stability issues cannot be addressed without understanding the boundary conditions on the functional integrals. However, it is straightforward to check that renormalization of g in $d = 4$ gives an asymptotically free theory, with beta function $\beta = -g^2/2\pi^2$ in the large- N limit. If PT -symmetric scalar field theories exist in four dimensions and are indeed asymptotically free, the possible implications for particle physics are large, and provide ample justification for further work.

Finally, we note that the construction we have used for PT -symmetric models with $O(N)$ symmetry is not the only one possible. For example, we can consider our original model with $g > 0$ but $\lambda < 0$, so that only the $O(N)$ symmetric term is unconventional. It would be interesting to know if the $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ limit of this class of models can be used to define a PT -symmetric model with $O(N)$ symmetry, and if so, if it is equivalent to the one studied here.

-
- [1] C. M. Bender and S. Boettcher, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 5243 (1998), physics/9712001.
 - [2] C. M. Bender, Contemp. Phys. **46**, 277 (2005), quant-ph/0501052.
 - [3] C. M. Bender, Rept. Prog. Phys. **70**, 947 (2007), hep-th/0703096.
 - [4] P. N. Meisinger and M. C. Ogilvie (2007), hep-th/0701207.
 - [5] C. M. Bender et al., Phys. Rev. **D74**, 025016 (2006), hep-th/0605066.
 - [6] H. F. Jones, J. Mateo, and R. J. Rivers, Phys. Rev. **D74**, 125022 (2006), hep-th/0610245.
 - [7] A. A. Andrianov (2007), hep-th/0703052.
 - [8] S. R. Coleman, R. Jackiw, and H. D. Politzer, Phys. Rev. **D10**, 2491 (1974).
 - [9] A. A. Andrianov, Ann. Phys. **140**, 82 (1982).